

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Record Systems: Design/Applic (MUPP-151) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	11

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	73%	18%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.80
The instructor was responsive to questions.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	55%	18%	9%	9%	0%	9%	4.30
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	45%	9%	27%	9%	0%	9%	4.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	27%	0%	45%	18%	0%	9%	3.40
Class material was presented clearly.	27%	18%	27%	18%	0%	9%	3.60

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- He was very knowledgeable.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	45%	27%	18%	0%	0%	9%	4.30
This course challenged me intellectually.	73%	9%	9%	0%	0%	9%	4.70
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	36%	36%	18%	0%	0%	9%	4.20
Course requirements were clear.	36%	45%	9%	0%	0%	9%	4.30
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	55%	27%	9%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- It taught me a lot about music production.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Record Systems: Design/Applic (MUPP-151) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	10

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
The instructor was responsive to questions.	60%	30%	10%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	40%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.20
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	20%	40%	30%	0%	0%	10%	3.89
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	20%	40%	30%	10%	0%	0%	3.70
Class material was presented clearly.	40%	30%	20%	10%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Olson is a great instructor. He used multiple examples and thoroughly explained each subject to students. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • I think he definitely did showed that he really knew the information, and was probably a really good teacher for someone who is trying to be an audio engineer, but for someone who has to take this class and isn't an MPRT major i feel it was very difficult to follow and keep up with the work. I would recommend another simpler class for non MPRT majors if possible, similar to what they do with musical theater students who have to learn theory. |
|---|---|

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	30%	40%	30%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	50%	20%	20%	10%	0%	0%	4.10
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
Course requirements were clear.	30%	50%	10%	10%	0%	0%	4.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	40%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.20
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with							

other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- I would again recommend making the work load for this class different or people who are not majoring in Music Production, I feel alot of the information went over my head and i wasn't too motivated to learn it, because the information isn't a huge part of my own major, and I would guess that other students had this problem.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Tonmeister Seminar (MUPP-354) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
The instructor was responsive to questions.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
Class material was presented clearly.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- This class was a lot more difficult than I thought it would be. It makes me want to do it again so that I don't make the same mistakes next time, but it's also hard enough that I'm not sure if I want to do all that extra effort.
- Great teaching style

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Course requirements were clear.	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.25
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- Don't make us look for the Tascam Pro thingy. It's too much of a headache to find something that most people say doesn't exist.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MIDI & Electronic Music (MUTC-419) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	5

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.80
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.80
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	40%	40%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.20
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	40%	20%	20%	20%	0%	0%	3.80
Class material was presented clearly.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- Professor Olson is a great teacher. He was always over-prepared for class, and demonstrated his knowledge of the material very well while also keeping a sense of humor. He made it very clear that he was always available for outside help if I, or any of the other students needed it. His expectations were clear and he held everyone to the same high standard. He let us know well in advance when projects were due, and therefore we were given plenty of time to plan accordingly and finish them. In several circumstances, the wording in one or two questions for the project requirements could have been different, as sometimes it was unclear. But all in all, a good class.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.80
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
Course requirements were clear.	80%	0%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	80%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	4.40
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access,							

support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.							
<ul style="list-style-type: none">Some projects we were only given one weeks time to fully complete. Class should be given more time to work on projects.							

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MIDI & Electronic Music (MUTC-419) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	9

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	89%	11%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.89
The instructor was responsive to questions.	89%	0%	0%	11%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	89%	0%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.78
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	78%	11%	0%	11%	0%	0%	4.56
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	67%	11%	11%	0%	11%	0%	4.22
Class material was presented clearly.	56%	11%	22%	11%	0%	0%	4.11

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- Cater not to the weak
- Great course, geared towards MPRT students however. I learned so much in this class and it will really help me and my future career.
- これほりんごです。

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
This course challenged me intellectually.	89%	0%	0%	0%	0%	11%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.56
Course requirements were clear.	78%	11%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.56
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- これは水です。
- Help the composition majors learn the more MPRT stuff!

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MIDI & Electronic Music (MUTC-519) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
Dec2012	2

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor was responsive to questions.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- I appreciated his patience with those of us who did not know how to use the computers as well as others.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Course requirements were clear.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- It is a tough course if you have little background using Macs and/or audio recording software. It is good to emphasize this in the course description.

