MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 9

e instructor was prepared for classes.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor was responsive to questions.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (0 / 0.00%)	
autral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor graded assignments based on the guideline	25.
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (0 / 0.00%)	Afr.
utral (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	ß
e instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	
ree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	β.
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner. ree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100

c,

1

Disagree Strongly (1 / 11.11%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class material was presented clearly.

Agree Strongly (5 / 55.56%)

Agree (1 / 11.11%)

Neutral (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (1 / 11.11%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class time was used effectively

Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%) Agree (2 / 22.22%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%) Agree (3 / 33.33%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

A								
0% 1 <mark>0%</mark> 2	0% 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 1	00%

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%



The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
					×ič,				air.			
		ę.			<u>k</u> ta							

Assignments helped me learn the material.

	0% 10% 20%	30% 40%	50% 60%	70% 80%	90% 100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)					
Agree (1 / 11.11%)					
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)					
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)					
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)					
N/A (0 / 0.00%)					

0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
il solo										
11										
151/1020										
14										

This course challenged me intellectually.

Agree	Strongly	(8)	/ 88.89%)
Agree	Subligity	(0)	1 00.0370)

Agree (0 / 0.00%)

Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)										
Agree (2 / 22.22%)						411				
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	a de la companya de									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	125									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)										
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	1947 - Vela									
Course requirements were clear.										
	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)							e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ũ.		

	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	
Agree (1 / 11.11%)	
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	

Sufficient time was given to complete the work.

	0% 10%	20%	30% 4	40%	50% 60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)									
Agree (2 / 22.22%)									
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)									
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	115-110								

Back

| have a question? |

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



Shenandoah University **Standard Course Evaluation**

MUPP-354–Tonmeister Seminar–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December

Number of Responses - 4

VIEW BY PERCENTAGE VIEW GRAPHICAL	BROWSE SURVEYS		DOW	NLOAD			BACK	
	Instructor related quest	ions						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The instructor was prepared for classes.	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.75	0.43
The instructor was responsive to questions.	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.75	0.43
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	1	3	0	0	0	0	4.25	0.43
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	2	2	0	0	0	0	4.50	0.50
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	2	1	1	0	0	0	4.25	0.83
Class material was presented clearly.	2	1	1	0	0	0	4.25	0.83
Class time was used effectively	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor. Show/Hide

• This class was a lot more difficult than I thought it would be. It makes me want to do it again so that I don't make the same mistakes next time, but it's also hard enough that I'm not sure if I want to do all that extra effort.

Great teaching style

Course re	lated questio	ns:						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00
Assignments helped me learn the material.	3	1	0	0	0	0	4.75	0.43
This course challenged me intellectually.	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00
Course requirements were clear.	3	0	0	1	0	0	4.25	1.30
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	4	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved. Show/Hide

• Don't make us look for the Tascam Pro thingy. It's too much of a headache to find something that most people say doesn't exist.

have a question?

Download as Word Doc

Back

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 9

e instructor was prepared for classes.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor was responsive to questions.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (0 / 0.00%)	
autral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor graded assignments based on the guideline	25.
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (0 / 0.00%)	Afr.
utral (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	ß
e instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	
ree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	β.
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner. ree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100

c,

1

Disagree Strongly (1 / 11.11%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class material was presented clearly.

Agree Strongly (5 / 55.56%)

Agree (1 / 11.11%)

Neutral (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (1 / 11.11%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class time was used effectively

Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%) Agree (2 / 22.22%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%) Agree (3 / 33.33%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

A								
0% 1 <mark>0%</mark> 2	0% 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 1	00%

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%



The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
					×ič,				air.			
		ę.			<u>k</u> ta							

Assignments helped me learn the material.

	0% 10% 20%	30% 40%	50% 60%	70% 80%	90% 100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)					
Agree (1 / 11.11%)					
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)					
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)					
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)					
N/A (0 / 0.00%)					

0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
il solo										
11										
151/1020										
14										

This course challenged me intellectually.

Agree	Strongly	(8)	/ 88.89%)
Agree	Subligity	(0)	1 00.0370)

Agree (0 / 0.00%)

Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)										
Agree (2 / 22.22%)						411				
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	a de la companya de									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	125									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)										
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	1947 - Vela									
Course requirements were clear.										
	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)							e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ũ.		

	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	
Agree (1 / 11.11%)	
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	

Sufficient time was given to complete the work.

	0% 10%	20%	30% 4	40%	50% 60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)									
Agree (2 / 22.22%)									
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)									
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	115-110								

Back

| have a question? |

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MUPP-151–Record Systems: Design/Applic–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December

Number of Responses - 11

VIEW BY PERCENTAGE VIEW GRAPHICAL BROWS	SE SURVEY	s II	DOW	/NLOAD			BACK	
Instructor	related quest	ions						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean	Standard Deviation
The instructor was prepared for classes.	8	2	0	0	0	1	4.80	0.40
The instructor was responsive to questions.	5	5	0	0	0	1	4.50	0.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	6	2	1	1	0	1	4.30	1.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	5	1	3	1	0	1	4.00	1.10
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	3	0	5	2	0	1	3.40	1.11
Class material was presented clearly.	3	2	3	2	0	1	3.60	1.11
Class time was used effectively	5	3	2	0	0	1	4.30	0.78
Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.	Show/Hide							

• He was very knowledgable.

Course re	lated questic	ons:						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.	7	2	1	0	0	1	4.60	0.66
Assignments helped me learn the material.	5	3	2	0	0	1	4.30	0.78
This course challenged me intellectually.	8	1	1	0	0	1	4.70	0.64
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	4	4	2	0	0	1	4.20	0.75
Course requirements were clear.	4	5	1	0	0	1	4.30	0.64
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	6	3	1	0	0	1	4.50	0.67
Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this co	ourse might b	e improv	ed. Sho	w/Hide				

It taught me a lot about music production.

Download as Word Doc

Back

I terms of use I change password I

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.

| have a question? |



MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 9

e instructor was prepared for classes.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor was responsive to questions.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (0 / 0.00%)	
autral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor graded assignments based on the guideline	25.
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (0 / 0.00%)	Afr.
utral (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	ß
e instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	
ree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	β.
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner. ree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100

c,

1

Disagree Strongly (1 / 11.11%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class material was presented clearly.

Agree Strongly (5 / 55.56%)

Agree (1 / 11.11%)

Neutral (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (1 / 11.11%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class time was used effectively

Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%) Agree (2 / 22.22%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%) Agree (3 / 33.33%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

A								
0% 1 <mark>0%</mark> 2	0% 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90% 1	00%

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%



The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.

		0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
					×ič,				air.			
		ę.			<u>k</u> ta							

Assignments helped me learn the material.

	0% 10% 20%	30% 40%	50% 60%	70% 80%	90% 100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)					
Agree (1 / 11.11%)					
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)					
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)					
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)					
N/A (0 / 0.00%)					

0%	10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
il solo										
11										
151/1020										
14										

This course challenged me intellectually.

Agree	Strongly	(8)	/ 88.89%)
Agree	Subligity	(0)	1 00.0370)

Agree (0 / 0.00%)

Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)										
Agree (2 / 22.22%)						411				
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	a de la companya de									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	125									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)										
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	1947 - Vela									
Course requirements were clear.										
	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)							e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	ũ.		

	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	
Agree (1 / 11.11%)	
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)	
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	

Sufficient time was given to complete the work.

	0% 10%	20%	30% 4	40%	50% 60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)									
Agree (2 / 22.22%)									
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)									
N/A (0 / 0.00%)	115-110								

Back

| have a question? |

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MUPP-151–Record Systems: Design/Applic–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 10

VIEW BY PERCENTAGE VIEW GRAPHICAL	BROWSE SURVEYS		DOW	NLOAD			ВАСК	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nstructor related quest	ions						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The instructor was prepared for classes.	6	4	0	0	0	0	4.60	0.49
The instructor was responsive to questions.	6	3	1	0	0	0	4.50	0.67
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	4	4	2	0	0	0	4.20	0.75
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	2	4	3	0	0	1	3.89	0.74
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	2	4	3	1	0	0	3.70	0.90
Class material was presented clearly.	4	3	2	1	0	0	4.00	1.00
Class time was used effectively	5	5	0	0	0	0	4.50	0.50

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor. Show/Hide

• Olson is a great instructor. He used multiple examples and thoroughly explained each subject to students.

I think he definitely did showed that he really knew the information, and was probably a really good teacher for someone who is trying to be an audio
engineer, but for someone who has to take this class and isn't an MPRT major i feel it was very difficult to follow and keep up with the work. I would
recommend another simpler class for non MPRT majors if possible, similar to what they do with musical theater students who have to learn theory.

Course rel	ated questio	ns:						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.	4	5	1	0	0	0	4.30	0.64
Assignments helped me learn the material.	3	4	3	0	0	0	4.00	0.77
This course challenged me intellectually.	5	2	2	1	0	0	4.10	1.04
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	4	6	0	0	0	0	4.40	0.49
Course requirements were clear.	3	5	1	1	0	0	4.00	0.89
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	4	4	2	0	0	0	4.20	0.75

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved. Show/Hide

• I would again recommend making the work load for this class different or people who are not majoring in Music Production, I feel alot of the information went over my head and i wasn't too motivated to learn it, because the information isn't a huge part of my own major, and I would guess that other students had this problem.

Download as Word Doc

Back

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.

| have a question? |



MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 9

e instructor was prepared for classes.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor was responsive to questions.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (0 / 0.00%)	
autral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor graded assignments based on the guideline	25.
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (0 / 0.00%)	Afr.
utral (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	ß
e instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	
ree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	β.
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner. ree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100

c,

1

Disagree Strongly (1 / 11.11%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class material was presented clearly.

Agree Strongly (5 / 55.56%)

Agree (1 / 11.11%)

Neutral (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (1 / 11.11%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class time was used effectively

Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%) Agree (2 / 22.22%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%) Agree (3 / 33.33%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90%	
00/ 100/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 2	
00, 100, 000, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100,	
0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90%	100%

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%



The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.

	and the second se	
	a de la companya de la compa	

Assignments helped me learn the material.

	0% 10% 2	0% 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)									
Agree (1 / 11.11%)									
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)									
N/A (0 / 0.00%)									

Ę,

							£.		
0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

This course challenged me intellectually.

Agree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
-----------------------------	--

Agree (0 / 0.00%)

Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)										
Agree (2 / 22.22%)		10				- 11				
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)										
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	14									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)										
N/A (0 / 0.00%)										
Course requirements were clear.										
	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)								ŝ		

Agree (1 / 11.11%) Neutral (1 / 11.11%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Sufficient time was given to complete the work.

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

 $N/A\,(0\,/\,0.00\%)$

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 10%

Neutral (1 / 11.11%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)

Agree (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

 $\rm N/A\,(0\,/\,0.00\%)$

Back

have a question?

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December

Number of Responses - 5

VIEW BY PERCENTAGE VIEW GRAPHICAL	BROWSE SURVEYS		DOW	NLOAD			ВАСК	
Ins	tructor related questi	ions						~
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The instructor was prepared for classes.	5	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.80	0.40
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.80	0.40
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	2	2	1	0	0	0	4.20	0.75
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	2	1	1	1	0	0	3.80	1.17
Class material was presented clearly.	2	3	0	0	0	0	4.40	0.49
Class time was used effectively	3	2	0	0	0	0	4.60	0.49

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor. Show/Hide

Professor Olson is a great teacher. He was always over-prepared for class, and demonstrated his knowledge of the material very well while also
keeping a sense of humor. He made it very clear that he was always available for outside help if I, or any of the other students needed it. His
expectations were clear and he held everyone to the same high standard. He let us know well in advance when projects were due, and therefore we
were given plenty of time to plan accordingly and finish them. In several circumstances, the wording in one or two questions for the project requirements
could have been different, as sometimes it was unclear. But all in all, a good class.

Course re	elated question	ns:						
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation
The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.	2	3	0	0	0	0	4.40	0.49
Assignments helped me learn the material.	4	1	0	0	0	0	4.80	0.40
This course challenged me intellectually.	5	0	0	0	0	0	5.00	0.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	3	2	0	0	0	0	4.60	0.49
Course requirements were clear.	4	0	1	0	0	0	4.60	0.80
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	4	0	0	1	0	0	4.40	1.20
Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this construction	ourse might b	e improv	/ed. Sho	w/Hide				

Some projects we were only given one weeks time to fully complete. Class should be given more time to work on projects.

Download as Word Doc

Back

have a question? | | terms of use | | change password |

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



MUTC-419–MIDI & Electronic Music–Olson, Adam–Fall 2012 December Number of Responses - 9

e instructor was prepared for classes.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor was responsive to questions.	
	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
rree (0 / 0.00%)	
autral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
e instructor graded assignments based on the guideline	25.
ree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (0 / 0.00%)	Afr.
utral (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	₿.
e instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	
ree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
ree (1 / 11.11%)	
utral (0 / 0.00%)	
sagree (1 / 11.11%)	
sagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)	
A (0 / 0.00%)	
	β.
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100
e instructor returned assignments in a timely manner. ree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)	0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100

c,

1

Disagree Strongly (1 / 11.11%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class material was presented clearly.

Agree Strongly (5 / 55.56%)

Agree (1 / 11.11%)

Neutral (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (1 / 11.11%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Class time was used effectively

Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%) Agree (2 / 22.22%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%) Agree (3 / 33.33%) Neutral (0 / 0.00%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

N/A (0 / 0.00%)

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90%	
00/ 100/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 200/ 2	
00, 100, 000, 100, 100, 100, 100, 100,	
0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90%	100%

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 100%



The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.

	and the second se	
	a de la companya de la compa	

Assignments helped me learn the material.

	0% 10% 2	0% 30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)									
Agree (1 / 11.11%)									
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)									
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)									
N/A (0 / 0.00%)									

Ę

							£.		
0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%

This course challenged me intellectually.

Agree Strongly (8 / 88.89%)	
-----------------------------	--

Agree (0 / 0.00%)

Neutral (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)										
Agree (2 / 22.22%)		10				- 11				
Neutral (1 / 11.11%)										
Disagree (0 / 0.00%)	14									
Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)										
N/A (0 / 0.00%)										
Course requirements were clear.										
	0% 10%	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	90%	100%
Agree Strongly (7 / 77.78%)								ŝ		

Agree (1 / 11.11%) Neutral (1 / 11.11%) Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Sufficient time was given to complete the work.

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

 $N/A\,(0\,/\,0.00\%)$

0% 10% 20% 30% 40% 50% 60% 70% 80% 90% 10%

Neutral (1 / 11.11%)

Agree Strongly (6 / 66.67%)

Agree (2 / 22.22%)

Disagree (0 / 0.00%)

Disagree Strongly (0 / 0.00%)

 $\rm N/A\,(0\,/\,0.00\%)$

Back

have a question?

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.



MUTC-419-MIDI & Electronic Music-Olson, Adam-Fall 2012 December

Number of Responses - 9

VIEW BY PERCENTAGE VIEW GRAPHICAL	BROWSE SURVEYS	OWSE SURVEYS		DOWNLOAD		BACK			
Instructor related guestions									
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean	Standard Deviation	
The instructor was prepared for classes.	8	1	0	0	0	0	4.89	0.31	
The instructor was responsive to questions.	8	0	0	1	0	0	4.67	0.94	
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	8	0	1	0	0	0	4.78	0.63	
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	7	1	0	1	0	0	4.56	0.96	
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	6	1	1	0	1	0	4.22	1.31	
Class material was presented clearly.	5	1	2	1	0	0	4.11	1.10	
Class time was used effectively	7	2	0	0	0	0	4.78	0.42	
 , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,									

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor. Show/Hide

- これはりんごです。
 Cater not to the weak

• Great course, geared towards MPRT students however. I learned so much in this class and it will really help me and my future career.

Course related questions:									
	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	<u>Mean</u>	Standard Deviation	
The course assignments reflected the objectives of the course.	6	3	0	0	0	0	4.67	0.47	
Assignments helped me learn the material.	7	1	1	0	0	0	4.67	0.67	
This course challenged me intellectually.	8	0	0	0	0	1	5.00	0.00	
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.56	0.68	
Course requirements were clear.	7	1	1	0	0	0	4.67	0.67	
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	6	2	1	0	0	0	4.56	0.68	

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved. Show/Hide

- これは水です。 ٠
- Help the composition majors learn the more MPRT stuff!

Download as Word Doc

Back

terms of use

change password

MyClassEvaluation™ is relied on by 410,000 instructors and administrators at many of the world's finest institutes of higher learning to improve course delivery, increase student satisfaction, and provide accurate reporting.

| have a question? |

