

# Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

## Record System Design/Applic II (MUPR-112) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2016/SP	3

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
The instructor was responsive to questions.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	0%	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	3.33
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.67
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
This course challenged me intellectually.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Course requirements were clear.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	3.67

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

# Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

## Record System Design/Applic II (MUPR-112) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2016/SP	3

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	33%	4.50
Class material was presented clearly.	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.33

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- For me, there was only so much stuff that could stick when you told it to us. After a while, everything just kind of flies over my head.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Course requirements were clear.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	5.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

# Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

## Sibelius (MUPR-255) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2016/SP	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	25%	50%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3.75
The instructor was responsive to questions.	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	3.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	0%	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	3.25
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	25%	50%	0%	0%	25%	3.33
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	3.25

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Prof. Olson is very knowledgeable on all things music\technology related, including Sibelius. Seeing as music notation is not particularly his area of expertise, it was difficult to gain much personal insight from him on course material.</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● He maybe needs to not teach a lecture kind of class</li> </ul> |
|---|---|

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	0%	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	3.75
Course requirements were clear.	0%	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	3.25
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	0%	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	3.50
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.50

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- There were three or four classes in which the required reading for the day was hardly discussed. This is frustrating for

students who made the effort to do the required reading, potentially having made sacrifices in other areas to do so. These same class meetings usually focused on entirely unrelated material that was not discussed in the textbook or required for the Avid Certification Exam.

# Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

## Digital & Advanced Recording (MUPR-302) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2016/SP	3

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor was responsive to questions.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Class material was presented clearly.	33%	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.33

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	67%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Course requirements were clear.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	33%	33%	33%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	67%	0%	0%	0%	0%	33%	5.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- It is quite often more of an impactful learning experience to have more of a collaborative lab approach to grasp a complex concept rather than trying to read the same course material and not have it sink in. The time the class synchronized our computers together was a prime example of a learning experience that was engaging and demonstrated the topic.

# Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

## Studio Systems: Maint& Network (MUPR-342) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2016/SP	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor was responsive to questions.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Class material was presented clearly.	25%	50%	0%	0%	25%	0%	3.50
Please provide constructive comments with respect to this <u>instructor</u> .							
Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
This course challenged me intellectually.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Course requirements were clear.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	50%	0%	25%	0%	0%	25%	4.33
Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.							

