

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Record System Design/Applic I (MUPR-101) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	9

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	67%	22%	11%	0%	0%	0%	4.56
The instructor was responsive to questions.	67%	33%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.67
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	56%	33%	0%	0%	11%	0%	4.22
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	56%	11%	22%	0%	0%	11%	4.38
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	33%	44%	11%	0%	11%	0%	3.89
Class material was presented clearly.	22%	56%	11%	11%	0%	0%	3.89

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- Great Professor
- Professor Olson is very knowledgable. Because of this he explains things in ways that go over some of the students' heads.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	56%	11%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.57
This course challenged me intellectually.	67%	0%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.71
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	56%	11%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.57
Course requirements were clear.	33%	33%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.29
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	44%	22%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.43
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	56%	11%	11%	0%	0%	22%	4.57
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	33%	11%	22%	11%	0%	22%	3.86
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	44%	0%	11%	0%	0%	44%	4.60

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- change it from lecture based to more hands on/experiential. it helps so much more, and it makes things so much easier to undertand

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Tonmeister Seminar (MUPR-311) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	5

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
The instructor was responsive to questions.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.80
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	20%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.20
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
Class material was presented clearly.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- At times it could be unclear what the instructor wanted in isolated incidents, but assignments had clear outlines.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
Course requirements were clear.	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	80%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.80
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	20%	0%	20%	0%	0%	60%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- The new project needs cleaned up guidelines and expectations in order to run smoother.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Midi and Electronic Music (MUPR-351) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	2

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Class material was presented clearly.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- Prof. Olson, no doubt knows the material very well, I do feel that the class would benefit more with more direct outlined (powerpoint) overview of some of the topics, rather than general discussion of the topics.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
Course requirements were clear.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	50%	3.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- Some of the software that we were required to use was only available in the lab and it would be nice if the school could work with some of the OEM software companies to see about getting either free software or larger discount for the students so we could access on our laptops as well as have it for personal/professional use.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Midi and Electronic Music (MUPR-351) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	1

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Course requirements were clear.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- More projects like the final project would really foster creativity in utilizing MIDI and engage students in constructive criticism.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Audio for Video (MUPR-461) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	12

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	67%	25%	8%	0%	0%	0%	4.58
The instructor was responsive to questions.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	42%	25%	0%	17%	0%	17%	4.10
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	33%	17%	0%	0%	0%	4.33
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	42%	33%	0%	17%	0%	8%	4.09
Class material was presented clearly.	50%	8%	17%	17%	8%	0%	3.75

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● For the required hours, if we would have been told that we could watch or read anything relevant to Audio for Video for our hours, it would have been more reasonable to meet the 60 hour expectations.
 ● I often have trouble understanding how professor Olson conveys what he is trying to say. His choice of words often leave me confused. I found that he was really rushing the material in many of the classes, and it was difficult for me to follow. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● I know this was the first time the class was taught, and that collaborating with the theater department was an experiment, but if we did more projects related to the Cars trailer, or even have us write a script for a 5 minute film and learn the process with that. Again, it's a new class, so there's definitely room for improvement.
 ● This class would have been much better if there were more projects and hands of work. I don't think it was appropriate that the class was based off time spent out outside class when all there was to do was to read. As a hands on learner its hard to just spend time reading, or to even learn for just a book. |
|---|---|

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	0%	8%	8%	8%	0%	75%	3.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	0%	8%	8%	8%	0%	75%	3.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	8%	0%	8%	8%	0%	75%	3.33
Course requirements were clear.	0%	8%	17%	0%	0%	75%	3.33
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	0%	8%	8%	0%	8%	75%	2.67
If technology was used to support instruction in this							

class, it was effective in supporting my learning.	17%	0%	8%	0%	0%	75%	4.33
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	8%	0%	17%	0%	0%	75%	3.67
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	8%	0%	8%	0%	83%	3.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- I was really excited for this course heading into the semester; I think Audio for Video is really interesting topic to me. However, the way this course was, I think it should be renamed 'Protools KEy Commands for Video.' Audio for video is a diverse topic, with many stages in the production of this audio. I found that the class was more concerned solely with the Pro Tools aspect, in particular just going over endless key commands, that I don't remember because of how many were thrown at me every class. I really would like to see a different approach to this class, one which involves foley, sound effects, the creation and recording of these sounds, and how to manipulate them to affect an audience in the desired manner. I really did enjoy the part of the class with the Cars trailer, I wish there could be more of that. I also found the 60 hours to be unrealistic and very difficult to achieve. I think 40 hours would be more reasonable.

Shenandoah University Standard Survey - Instructor Questions Only

Midi and Electronic Music (MUTC-519) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
2015/FA	0

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0.00
Please provide constructive comments with respect to this <u>instructor</u> .							

