

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Record System Design/Applic II (MUPP-152) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	7

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	29%	43%	14%	0%	0%	14%	4.17
The instructor was responsive to questions.	29%	29%	14%	0%	14%	14%	3.67
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	29%	14%	43%	0%	0%	14%	3.83
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	14%	29%	29%	14%	0%	14%	3.50
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	29%	14%	29%	14%	0%	14%	3.67
Class material was presented clearly.	14%	14%	43%	14%	0%	14%	3.33

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- This instructor knows his material adeptly; to the point where he can not verbalize his thoughts from a proficient skill level to those who are not as versed in this field as him. The instructor also discusses many topics over the course of one class meeting giving the student not much time to ask questions as he does not repeat himself.
- I felt like if we reviewed signal flow in the way that it would have been presented on the proficiency exams it would have been a lot more helpful

- Course curriculum is effective in drilling the material.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	29%	29%	29%	0%	0%	14%	4.00
This course challenged me intellectually.	71%	14%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4.83
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	29%	57%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4.33
Course requirements were clear.	29%	14%	14%	29%	0%	14%	3.50
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	29%	29%	29%	0%	0%	14%	4.00
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	57%	29%	0%	0%	0%	14%	4.67
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	57%	14%	14%	0%	0%	14%	4.50

Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	29%	14%	29%	0%	0%	29%	4.00
Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.							
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li data-bbox="126 279 786 384">● Again, a possible slower approach to the material might help some students grasp the information better than having all of the pertinent information fly by them. <li data-bbox="873 279 1549 384">● More time spent on material would have been helpful, but I attributed the lack of time to the number of class cancellations. 							

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Record System Design/Applic II (MUPP-152) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	5

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	20%	80%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.20
The instructor was responsive to questions.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	40%	20%	40%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	3.60
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	60%	20%	20%	0%	0%	3.40

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- Instructor was helpful and helped make material fun. I felt sometime lessons were convoluted in terms of what we were supposed to be focusing on. I also feel like the instructor grades unnecessarily harshly. Instructor is helpful in answering questions, but does not often give precise guidelines in terms of information we are required to know for graded assignments.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	0%	60%	20%	0%	0%	20%	3.75
This course challenged me intellectually.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
Course requirements were clear.	20%	40%	20%	20%	0%	0%	3.60
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	20%	40%	40%	0%	0%	0%	3.80
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	60%	40%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.60
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	40%	60%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.40
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	40%	20%	0%	0%	40%	3.67

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- If there were better organization and more concise information given about graded assignments I would learn more efficiently.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Recording Systems Studio Time (MUPP-162) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	11

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
The instructor was responsive to questions.	64%	27%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.70
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	64%	27%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.70
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	55%	36%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.60
Class material was presented clearly.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- | | |
|--|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The instructor should not be on his phone while teaching the course as that is not only disrespectful to the students in the course but it is also unprofessional from a teaching perspective. Lead by example; and you can not prepare professional behavior while being an exemplar of unprofessionalism. ● Hands on time and small classes make for an excellent and efficient educational experience. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Instructor was very energetic and attentive to students. Presents and describes material very clearly and thoroughly, and is very patient with students, even explaining things many times if necessary. Great instructor, I learned a lot. |
|--|---|

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
This course challenged me intellectually.	45%	45%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.50
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	64%	27%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.70
Course requirements were clear.	27%	45%	18%	0%	0%	9%	4.10
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	36%	55%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.40
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	64%	27%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.70

There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	55%	36%	0%	0%	0%	9%	4.60
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	18%	27%	9%	0%	0%	45%	4.17

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- The delivery is understanding of different students abilities although sometimes the instructor can not find a succinct way to describe information.
- Perhaps more group oriented mixing, in order to share ideas and knowledge.
- Maybe some kind of text to make concepts being studied a bit more concrete. Sometimes it's hard to separate the stuff we really need to know for a grade from the stuff that gets mentioned as something 'by the way.'

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Digital & Advanced Recording (MUPP-351) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
The instructor was responsive to questions.	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	50%	0%	25%	25%	0%	0%	3.75
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	25%	0%	75%	0%	0%	0%	3.50
Class material was presented clearly.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- | | |
|---|---|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Class material to be taught in this class was very intense and it felt like there was not enough time to strongly understand each topic that was covered. Maybe next time less topics but more coverage on those select few topics. | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● There could not be someone more suited to teaching a class about synchronization. It helps us follow what you're saying when we can see that you get some kind of sick joy from making everything work together, and your prioritization of signal flow obviously makes synchronization more logical and less incredibly frustrating. |
|---|---|

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.75
This course challenged me intellectually.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Course requirements were clear.	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.75
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25

Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00
---	----	----	----	----	----	------	------

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- The mid-semester research project was a point when a lot of this information began to click for me. Having something pseudo-tangible to figure out myself, even getting it wrong initially, helped to lock in a lot of the information that was probably stated many times up to that point but never sank in. The many make-this-talk-to-this scenarios also helped with processing all of that information into an applicable concept. Digital processing is painfully heady, so keeping this course as hands-on as possible is best.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Digital Adv Rec Studio Time (MUPP-361) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	25%	75%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor was responsive to questions.	75%	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.75
Class material was presented clearly.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- This course should really be in Ricky's name, not AO's. Ricky was always available when we needed him but would disappear most of the time after the first couple weeks so that we would see just how much we could figure out and handle ourselves. He recognizes that kinesthetic learning is the best way for studio time to work, and that has payed off in how much we learned.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
Course requirements were clear.	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	75%	25%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.75
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	75%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- The reasoning behind 'sufficient time...'s low mark is snow. I know it's not the school's fault, but all that snow made our studio sessions sporadic instead of predictable and weekly. The lack of routine became really frustrating and meant that at one point I walked into the studio for the first time in a month. Aside from ranting about the evils of winter weather, I think the way this studio time works is probably the best way for it to work.

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Electronic Music Synthesis (MUTC-420) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	4

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
The instructor was responsive to questions.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	50%	25%	0%	25%	0%	0%	4.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	25%	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	3.50
Class material was presented clearly.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

- instructor is more aware of students falling behind during lecture/demonstrations, but still occasionally goes too fast for students unfamiliar with software involved

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
This course challenged me intellectually.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Course requirements were clear.	25%	50%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	50%	25%	25%	0%	0%	0%	4.25
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	25%	25%	25%	0%	0%	25%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- requirements to use in-lab computers and computer hardware 'keys' provided by teaching assistant were inconvenient;

perhaps in-lab work-study students (there is always one in the lab) could be provided with these 'keys'?

Shenandoah University Standard Course Evaluation

Electronic Music Synthesis (MUTC-420) - Olson, Adam

Period	Number of Responses
May2014	2

Instructor related questions	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
The instructor was prepared for classes.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was responsive to questions.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor graded assignments based on the guidelines.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor was available to me on an individual basis.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
The instructor returned assignments in a timely manner.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00
Class material was presented clearly.	0%	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments with respect to this instructor.

Course related questions:	Agree Strongly	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Disagree Strongly	N/A	Mean
Assignments helped me learn the material.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
This course challenged me intellectually.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
I have become more competent in this area due to the course.	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	4.50
Course requirements were clear.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Sufficient time was given to complete the work.	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	3.50
If technology was used to support instruction in this class, it was effective in supporting my learning	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
There were opportunities to interact regularly with other students in this class.	100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	5.00
Student support services such as library access, support for students with disabilities, counseling, and computing help were available.	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	50%	4.00

Please provide constructive comments as to how the delivery of this course might be improved.

- The Lord of the Rings project is a great idea; however, it comes at a bad time of the semester around when all other projects are due, making it difficult to find time to get it done. Time management is not too difficult with this class,
- This time the only reason there was 'not enough time' for the final project was because every other assignment in the university happened during that same month timeframe. I loved being able to at least think through the project so far

but maybe dividing sections of this assignment up into weeks to culminate into one large project. For instance, have the session made by this date, have the recorded vocals due by this date, have the minimum required tracks used by this date. Also, making it less than 3 minutes for the project would be much more ideal due to the fact that it's a lot of things to do for this project in such a short period of time at such a hectic part of the semester.

in advance, and because of that during the last week when we could actually understand the assignment well enough to complete it, things went much faster than they would have gone without all the time to process the requirements. Please keep sending the final project that early. It was great having the information ahead of time.

